G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. (1895-96.)

191 .

(L. R.)

Later references.

File No. 88-95.

Serial Nos. 1-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-12.

In ~~Part~~ B for October 1898.

SUBJECT.

Prayer of Venkatabhatta of Bagepalli for the Cancellation of the Resumption of an Inam in Valagarahalli, Bangalore Taluk

---

W D 1250—GFB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Memo

Petition No. 1108 5th July 1895

Petitioner :- Venkata Bhatta
of Bagepalli.

Subject :- Prayer for the
treatment as Inam of a wet land in
S. No. 51 of Valagerahalli village,
Bangalore Taluk, which, originally
having been an Inam, has been
now resumed and assessed.

The Huzur Jari accounts
of 1831 show that one Atchambhat
was the holder of a wet Inam* land
liable to submersion in the village
of Valagerahalli. At the survey this
land measured 37 guntas and was
assessed at Rs 5/-. At the time of
the Inam Settlement one Jegnavathan
was the owner of the Inam and it
was said that he obtained the Inam
by a gift. No deeds were produced

* measuring 7 Kolagas + 3 Padis

to prove the fact. No notice was served upon him at the Inam Settlement. In spite of this the Inam was ordered to be resumed on 17.7.78 as there was no proof of alienation. Subsequently on Yegnambhat's petition the Inam Commr. having found that no notice had been served upon the petitioner allowed him to file his objection. Yegnambhat asserted that the gift of the land was the ground for enjoyment. The Amildar to whom Yegnambhat's statement was forwarded for verification replied that the Aval Sr. account contained no mention of the alleged ~~adoption~~ alienation. Thereupon a notice was issued to the alienee to produce his vouchers. As Yegnambhat was dead the notice was served on his widow Atchamma who does not seem to have proved the alienation. Thereupon the Inam Commr. made the resumption absolute. No information of the resumption having been given to

* 17-7-78.

to the Revenue authorities, ~~but~~
~~repeatedly~~ the Inam was enjoyed
unmolested till 1893-94 in
which year the present Inam
Superintendent sent to the
Taluk office an extract of the
Inam Register & directed the
Amildar to collect the full
assessment of the land from
the date of its resumption.
[The matter was then included
in the Takarar Phisal Thakhta
whereon the Jamabandi Officer
ordered that the Inam tenure
of the land should be abolished
and the land brought under
Nagadiyal and that a separate
report should be submitted
regarding past years dues.
The Deputy Commr: to whom this
was submitted ordered that the
arrears of previous years should
be recovered from the Inamdar

The Petr

The Petr appealed to Govt against the Inam Supts order. The Inam Supt, to whom the petition was sent for report, in submitting a copy of the Inam Register (reported case No 6 of Valagerahalli that the wet Inam land complained of had been resumed by the late Inam Supt strictly in accordance with the Rules. The petition was then forwarded to the Dy Comr for report as to why the Inam Supts order dated 17th July 98 was not given effect to. The Dy Commr assigned that non-receipt of information from the Survey Department was the reason for not carrying out the orders vide letter No. 16398 22.6.98 The Inam Supt also admits this fact. vide his letter No. 68 20.7.98.

In his report dated 10th April 1897, the Dy Commr states that the resumption of the Inam for absence of vouchers in support of alienation is inequitable, that although no vouchers were produced in support of the alienation to

Yegnambhat

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Even now there is no proof of such prosperity. Is there any?

Yegnambhat, yet he had been in possession for upwards of 12 years preceding the Inam enquiry and that there was no dispute about it. The Inam enquiry did not and could not upset the existing ~~affairs~~ state of things as per Inam Rule No. IX, and further the Inam being a Jari one was valid according to the Inam Rules. Under these circumstances he recommended that the resumption should be cancelled and the Inam confirmed on the Rt. Venkatabhat, Grandson of Yegnambhat, who is in actual enjoyment, subject to the compulsory payment of 1/8th quit-rent on the survey assessment of Rs 5, or ~~Rs~~ 10 annas; that even if the restoration of the Inam does not commend itself to

to Govt ~~is~~ the levying of the whole of the back assessment for 16 years in one lump ~~sum~~ ~~is~~ is hard in. asmuch as the Inamdar ~~would~~ would have had the option of giving up the land or paying the full assessment from year to year ~~if~~ the resumption had been given effect to at once, and that it was not the Inamdar's fault that the arrears were allowed to accumulate.

~~The~~ The Inam Supt to whom ~~the~~ copies of the Dy Comm's reports were ~~submitted~~ forwarded for favor of his remarks states that there is nothing to show whether Col Cole made any enquiry as to undisturbed possession for 12 years as required by Inam Precis No 2, and that under the circumstances he sees no objection to the Deputy Comm's recommendation being carried into effect

Bln
15/8/98.

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 175 of 1895-96.

Appellant. Vs. ~~Respondent.~~

Venkatabhatta of
Bagepalli.

Subject:— Prayer for the cancellation of the resumption of an Inam in Valagerehalli, Bangalore Taluk.

Rec'd & the 19th
Sub'd to 1st Committee
by 14/9/95

Sub'd to 1st Committee with ref to the order of the Rec'd &c.
by 28/9/95

9854

what is the
basis on h-
allowed un-disturbed
possession for 12
yrs previously to the
Inam enquiry?

AM
14/9/95

9927

24.

The resumption
may be Cancelled as
made without enquiry
regarding the length of
possession by the alienee
as recommended by the D.C. & Supdt of Inam-

Inam
Bhe
Confirmed
Dn
to give
Cert

1st cl
in fa-
avour

20/9

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 175 of 1895-96

Appellant. Vs. ~~Respondent.~~

Venkatābhatta
of Bagepalli.

Notice Served.
19. 9. 98.

Appellant Present

From 1878 to the present time
the appellt has been in possn

Appellt " Mysore In 1860 or 1870 the grg
ancestor got the Inam as a gift
from the Govt & enjoyment
of the Inam
Some Ryots cultivate the land
there from some mortgage.
Yeginam Bhat [illegible]
I was a minor I do not know
when the Inam settlement took place
Records to be sent for & Recd
Order reserved

Respon secd 23.9.98

20/9

LR 88-95-1

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 110 Jud. Dc. 176/95-96

Name of Petitioner. Venkata Bhatta Pandya

Village. & Yeshwanta Bhatta residing at B

Taluk. Bagepalli

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 5-7-95

Date of Receipt. 5-7-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

States that the Inam land bearing an assessment of 2 pagodas and situated in Valaperihalli - Kemperi Hobli - Bangalore Taluk is in his possession and enjoyment that two months back the Village authorities told him that the above land had been charged with a Kandayam of Rs. 5/- and that he should pay it - that on submitting a petition to ascertain the basis he was granted an Endorsement to say that his Inam had been cancelled that he was a minor at the time when the Inam was settled & that if he had known that his Inam land had been taken up by Govt in 1874 he would have tried to regain his Inam - Pray that the Inam may be restored thereto

accompanies Original Inam Petition

9/7/95.

Original Petition

JWR 10/7

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 88-95-2

The accompanying Petition No. 110
dated 5-7-95 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkata Bhatta
of Bagepalli. Kolar
Taluk. praying that
his Inam land in Vala-
gere halli, Bangalore
Taluk ~~is~~ to which he has been
~~restored~~ treated as
~~reinstated~~, maybe restored
is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the Supt. of
Inam Settlements
for report

2. The petition with its
enclosure should be
returned

By Order,

Draft 11-7-95

BANGALORE, 11-7-1895.

~~Chief~~ Secretary

Rev.

C. 11/7

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 629
24 JUL 95

To Revenue
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Land Rev: and Statistics

Dated 23rd
Despatched 24th } July.
Received

Enclosure No. 1

No. 10 of 1895—96

In returning the Enclosure of his docket Ct No. 98 dated 11th Instant, has the honor to report that the wet Inam land complained of by the petitioner, has duly been resumed by the late Inam Superintendent, strictly in accordance with the Rules.

2. A copy of the Inam Register case No. 6 of Valagerehalli village Bangalore Taluk, is herewith submitted for reference.

Supt of Inam Settlements.

LR 88-95 3.

Petition
&
Reports

Sub: to the Rev: Councillor with reference to the order on Ct No. 110.

One Atchambhat was the holder of the Inam, a wet land liable to assessment, according to the Jari Inam account of 1831. The Inam was for personal benefit.

At the time of the Inam Settlement in 1876-77, one Yagnavadhani was the owner of the wet land in question. It was alleged that he obtained it by gift from Atchambhat; but no deed was produced nor was there entry of the alienation in the Aval Number accounts. As there was no proof of the alienation the Inam was ordered to be resumed (17.7.78).

Petr says he is the grandson of Yagnavadhani & was a minor at the time of Settlement. Yagnavadhani was then alive & had notice, & on his death his widow Atchamma had notice of the reply given by the Amildar as to the absence of entry of alienation in the Aval No accts.

The Petr says that the land was assessed only in Apl last & that till then he had the enjoyment of the Inam. If this is true no effect seems to have been given by the Rev: authorities, to the Inam Commrs order.

Ascertain why the Dy Comr

342 19-8-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 110 dated 5th July 1895 received at the Dewan's Office from Venkata Bhatta of Bagepalli, praying that his Inam land in Valagerehalli, Bangalore Taluk, which has been resumed and assessed may be treated as Inam.

* to the obliging[illegible] returns.

L288-95 A+

is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Dy. Commr Bangalore District.

2. His attention is drawn to the petitioner's statement that the land was assessed only in about many Lacs and he is requested to report why the Inam Supt's order dated 17 July 1878 regarding the resumption of the Inam was not given effect to

3. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

By order,

[signature] 13/8

For Revenue Secretary.

BANGALORE,
13-8-95

L2

SLR 10/8

LR 88-95

Gl. No. 6148
Pet. 642
1896-97

From The Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments,
Bangalore

Dated 10th April 1897.
Despatched 14th Do —
Received
General No.
D No. 1033

Enclosure No. 1 file

In reply to Secy's No. 342
dy 13th August 1895.

Reports on petition from Venkata Bhatta of Bagepalli, praying that his Inam land in Valgerahalli Bangalore Taluk which has been resumed and assessed may be treated as Inam.

Petition 4
15-4-97

Rec'd 17/4

Rep put up
AK
19/4.

Submitted with reference to the Revenue Councillor's orders in letter No. 10 of 23.7.95 from the Inam Supt and on petn bb of 3.7.96.

19/4/97

Subd & 2nd Councillor with draft of an to Inam Supt calling for his opinion [while the Inam Supt says (docket No. 10 of 23/7/95) that the Inam was properly resumed, that the Dy Comr thinks that the Inam sh be restored]

22/4/97

Rec'd 6 Pm. 23/4/97.

Called by covers attention to plaint question but in 342 & ask for a plain answer to it. The question is whether the Inam was resumed under resumption passed by the Inam Supt duly empowered by the Govt & Taluk Office authorities

27/4/97 (296)

Draft
Worker
29/4/97

D.No 1033

Su. file

Bangalore District.

Dated 10th/14th April 1897.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit as follows the report called for in your Memoranda quoted in the margin.

Pet. 342 of 13th August/95.
Pet. 67 of 6th July/96.
Pet. 117 of 18th July/96.

2. A wet inam situated in the village of Valagerehalli, Kengeri hobli, Bangalore Taluk, was registered in the Jari Inamti accounts of 1808 and 1831 in the name of Achambhat. The old extent was 4-7-3 K. K. P. In the survey, it measured 37 goontas and was assessed at Rs 5/- At the Inam Settlement, it was alleged that one Yagnam bhat, living at Basepalli, was in enjoyment by virtue of a gift. A notice was issued to him: but it was not served on him or his representative. As however he did not appear to file his statement and prove the alienation, the inam was attached from 26th June 1876. On 2nd July 1876, the Inam Commissioner resumed it.

3. Subsequently Yagnambhat petitioned the Inam Commissioner, who on enquiry

ascertained that the notice had not
been served for the next on that
individual. Colonel Cole thereupon al
-lowed on 19th July 1876 Yajnambhat to
file his statement; which he did, in
which the gift of the land was asserted
as the ground for his enjoyment. The
statement was forwarded to the Amildar
for verification. That functionary replied
that the Aval No account contained no
mention of the alleged alienation. There-
-upon a notice was issued to the alienee
to produce his vouchers.

4. By this time, Yajnambhat had
paid the debt of nature, and the notice
was served on his widow Achamma.
She does not appear to have proved the
alienation, whereupon the Inam Commissioner
on the 17th July 1878 made the resumption
absolute.

5. According to the records herewith
submitted, the attachment and resumption
appear to have been confined to paper, as
the man continued unmolested in possession
for 16 years. The present Inam Superintendent
sent to the Taluk Kacheri in 1894-95 an
extract from the Inam Register, requiring
the collection of the full assessment for
all the past years.

x Why so
was the Pe
which Mr
by Cour
was asked
to answer
submit he
has avoided
until
27/4/97

has petitioned the Government.

7. I venture to think that the resumption of the inam for absence of vouchers in support of alienation is inequitable. Inams were attached for default of appearance, and when the default was persisted in, they were resumed. But although no vouchers were produced in support of the alienation to Yajnambhat, he was in possession, apparently for upwards of 12 years preceding the inam enquiry, and there was no dispute. The Inam enquiry did not and could not upset the status quo: vide Inam Rule IX. Further it was a Jari inam, which was valid according to the Inam Rules.

8. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the resumption of the inam should be cancelled and that it should be confirmed to the Petitioner, Venkatabhat, who is the grandson of Yajnambhat, and who is actually in enjoyment, subject to the compulsory payment of 1/8th Quit-rent on the Survey assessment of Rs 5, or 10 annas.

9. Even if the restoration of the inam does not commend itself to Government, I think that there is no doubt of the inexpediency of levying the whole of the back

assessment - &c.

The resumption should have been given effect to at once, in which case the man would have had the option of giving up the land or paying the full assessment from year to year. It is not his fault that the arrears of assessment had been allowed to accumulate.

10. The enclosures of your Memoranda under reply are herewith returned. The collection of the back assessment has been ordered to be deferred pending Government decision, as per your Memo dated 18th July 1896 No. 117.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

W Narasimmayya
Dy Commissioner.

1897

From

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 3?

Despatched 6 May/97

Received

General No. 9715

No. 1628

Enclosure No. Two Petns & records.

LR 88-95-16

His letter No. 1033 dated 10th April 1897 reporting on the petn of Venkata Bhatta of Bagepalli in the matter of resumption of his Inam lands in Vulgerahalli, Bangalore Dt

has the honor to invite the attention of the Dt. Commr to this office No. Pol 342 of 19.8.95 and to request that a plain answer to the question therein put, (viz) as to why was not the order of resumption passed by the Inam Supt duly enforced by the Dt and Dt. authorities? may be submitted at an early date.

2. The petn with enclosures and the records are returned for reference and necessary disposal.

LR 3/5/97

By order &c

Ref 88-95

Sheriff

Withdrawn by Secretariat's docket no 10
5-23/7/95

Forward Copies of the Dy Comrs
Reports, the petn & the Revenue
& the Inam Enquiry proceedings
& the Inam Enquiry proceedings
any remarks he may wish
to make
22/7/95

Dy Secy
23/7/98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 9416
JUN 24 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Ref 698 1896-98 (7)

From
The Dy. Commissioner of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments

611

Dated 22nd June/98
Despatched 23rd do
Received

General No.
D No. 1639

Enclosure No. 1 file

Secys docket No. 9715
P. 1628
of the 6th May (89).

Reports on petition of
Venkatachalla of Base-
palli in the matter of
the resumption of Inam
Inam lands in Valsarahalli
Bangalore Taluk.

Petition Rd 24/6/98

Ref & put up

AK
25/6/98

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore... 22 June 1898.

D. No. 1639
Enc. 1 file

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Genl. & Rev Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 9715 of the 6th May 1897, enquiring P. 1628 why the Inam Superintendent's order of 1878 resuming the Inam lands in Valgerahalli village of the Bangalore Taluk, belonging to one Basepalli Venkata Bhatta, was not given effect to for many years, I beg to state that intimation thereof was not given either to this Office or to the Taluk Office till April 1895 - Hence the delay.

2. The vernacular records received with the reference under reply are herewith resubmitted. I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most obedient servant
W. Venkatarangaiya
by Commissioner

mms

No 1
P. 4 of 5.7.98

From

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

To.

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your
docket no 10 dated 23rd July
1895, reporting on the petn
of Venkata Bhatta of Bagepalli
regarding the resumption of
his Inam lands in Vale-
gerehalli village, Bare
galoor Taluk, I am directed
to forward herewith copies
of the Bangalore Dy Comrs
reports thereon, nos 1033 dated
10-4-97 and 1699 dated 22nd
June 1898, together with
the petn and the vernacular
records received therewith,
for any remarks you may
wish to make in the matter

Thanks to

for Secretary

21/7/98

H 88-95-8

To the obligingly returned.
23-7-98
25-7-98

Council case
claim "to hold land free from
payment of land revenue"
Post for hearing
Prepare a short memo giving the facts
briefly 24/7/98

REVENUE
Gl. No. 506
JUL. 21 398
Pet 24
DEWAN'S
189899

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue
Departments

Dated 20th
Despatched Do } July 1898
Received

No. 6 of 1898—99

Enclosure No. one bundle

Reports that there seems, no objection in carrying out the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner regarding the Inam of Venkatabhattah in the Village of Valagerehally Bangalore Taluk.

Petition
21/7/98

22/7
Refer put up
AK
23/7/98 Mly
Subd
25/7/98

No 6.

Inam Superintendents office
Bangalore dated 20th July 1898

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honor, in reply to your letter No. 76 Petn 4 dated 5th Instant, to state that on an examination of the Records there is nothing to shew that any intimation of the resumption of the Inam in question by Colonel Cole, in 1876 and again substantiated in 1878; was given to the Revenue authorities. There is moreover nothing to shew whether Colonel Cole made any enquiry as to undisturbed posession for 12 years as required by Inam Precis No. 2.

2. Under the above circumstances I see no objection to the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation being carried into effect.

3. The petition and the Vernaculer records received with the letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Supt of Inam Settlt

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Lr 88-95-96

Draft for approval

3/10/95

9976

3/10

4/10/95

Read:—

Petition of Appeal No. 110/A.176 of 1895-96, presented by Venkatabhatta of Bagepalli, appealing against the order of the Inam Supt. in resuming a wet Inam land included in S.No. 5 of Valagerahalli Bangalore Taluk, in the holding of the appellant.

(2). Letter No. 1033 dated 16th April 1897 from the Deputy Commr., Bangalore &c.

Pet 3428 13-8-95
Pet 79 6-7-96
Pet 117 18-7-96

I have the honor to submit as follows the report called for in your memoranda quoted in the margin.

2. A wet Inam situated in the village of Valagerahalli, Kengeri Hobli, Bangalore Taluk, was registered in the Jari Inam accounts of 1808 and 1831 in the name of Acchamba. The old extent was K. 0 – K. 7 – P. 3. In the

survey, it measured 37 guntas
and was assessed at Rs 5/- At
the Inam Settlement, it was alleged
that one Yagnambhat, living at
Bagepalli, was in enjoyment
by virtue of a gift. A notice was
issued to him: but it was not served
on him or his representative.
As however he did not appear
to file his statement and
prove the alienation, the inam
was attached from 26th July
1876. On 2nd July 1876, the
Inam Commr resumed it.
3. Subsequently Yagnambhat
petitioned the Inam Commr,
who on enquiry ascertained
that the notice had not been
served for the wet on that individual.
Col Cole thereupon allowed on 19th July 76
Yagnambhat to file his statement,
which he did, in which the gift
of the land was asserted as the
ground for his enjoyment.

The statement was forwarded to the Amildar for verification. That functionary replied that the Aval No. account contained no mention of the alleged alienation. Thereupon a notice was issued to the alienee to produce his vouchers.

4. By this time Yagnambhat had paid the debt of nature, and the notice was served on his widow Acchamma. She does not appear to have proved the alienation, whereupon the Inam Commr. on the 17th July 1878 made the resumption absolute.

5. According to the records hereinwith submitted, the attachment and resumption appear to have been confined to paper, as the man continued unmolested in possession for 16 years. The present Inam Supt. sent to the Taluk Kacheri in 1894-95 an extract from the Inam Regr.

requiring

requiring the collection of the full assessment for all the past 3 years

6. Thereupon the Petitioner Venkatachal has petitioned the Govt.

7. I venture to think that the resumption of the Inam for absence of vouchers in support of alienation is inequitable. Inams were attached for default of appearance, and when the default was persons in, they were resumed. But although no vouchers were produced in support of the alienation to Gajanambhat, he was in possession apparently for upwards of 12 years pending the Inam enquiry, and there was no dispute. The Inam enquiry did not and could not upset the status quo: Vide Inam Rule IX. Further it was a Jari Inam, which was valid according to Inam Rules.

8. Under these circumstances,

I am of opinion that the resumption of the Inam should be cancelled and that it should be confirmed to the Petitioner Venkatabhat, who is the grandson of Jagnambha, and who is actually in enjoyment subject to the compulsory payment of 1/8th quit-rent on the survey assessment of Rs 5-, or 10 annas.

(3) Letter No. 1639 & 22.6.98 from the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt, reporting that the resumption of the Inam in question in 1878 was not communicated to the Taluk office till April 1890.

(4) Letter No. 68 20.7.98 from the Inam Supt reporting that there is nothing to show that Col Cole made any enquiry as to undisturbed possession for more than 12 years as required by Inam

Precis

is no objection under the circumstances to the Deputy Comm^rs recommendation being carried out.

P

(934)

Order thereon:- No. 2820-21 / R727-98 & 6.10.98 Inc records

Under the circumstances now brought to notice I am pleased to direct the cancellation of the resumption ~~will be cancelled as~~ made without enquiry regarding the length of possession by the alienee, as recommended both by the Deputy Comm^r and the Supt of Inams. The Inam will be confirmed to the present holder on 1/8th quit-rent.

There is no doubt as to the main question that the man has been in the undisturbed possession of the alienee this Inam for more than 12 years prior to the main settlement and

3/10/98

C. & R.C.B.D. For Secretary

" Re-draft

Rlen.
3/10

To The D.C^r, Bangalore, with records.

" The Inam Supt returning the Inam Regr rec^d with his No. 10 & 23-7-95.

7-10-98

110 కి.మీ.

ఈ విషయంపై వివరణాత్మక పత్రం ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా

మీరు ఇచ్చిన ఫిర్యాదులో ఆరోపించిన విషయాలపై

తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం జరుగుతుంది.

మీరు ఫిర్యాదులో పేర్కొన్న విషయాలలో కొన్ని

ప్రాంతాలకు సంబంధించిన వివరాలు మాత్రమే

మీరు ఇచ్చారు. మీరు ఫిర్యాదులో పేర్కొన్న

ప్రాంతాలకు సంబంధించిన వివరాలు ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా

మీకు ఇదివరకే లేఖ వ్రాయడం జరిగింది.

5.7. ప్రకారం మీరు ఇచ్చిన ఫిర్యాదులో

పేర్కొన్న ప్రాంతాలకు సంబంధించిన వివరాలు

ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా మీకు ఇదివరకే లేఖ వ్రాయడం

జరిగింది. మీరు ఇచ్చిన ఫిర్యాదులో పేర్కొన్న

ప్రాంతాలకు సంబంధించిన వివరాలు ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా

మీకు ఇదివరకే లేఖ వ్రాయడం జరిగింది.

ఈ ప్రాంతాలకు సంబంధించిన వివరాలు

ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా మీకు ఇదివరకే లేఖ వ్రాయడం

జరిగింది. మీరు ఇచ్చిన ఫిర్యాదులో పేర్కొన్న

ప్రాంతాలకు సంబంధించిన వివరాలు ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా

మీకు ఇదివరకే లేఖ వ్రాయడం జరిగింది.

ధన్యవాదములు

1488-95-D 11

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] - 9.98 [illegible]
5-/10-

By Order

For Secretary

Offr
Clerk
28/9.

Bangalore	Taluk
Kengeri	Hobli
Valagerehalli	Village
101	Resumption Register No.
Personal	Description of Inam
Wet	Description of Land
51 part	No.
Bagepalli in Gummanayakana Palya Taluk. Venkatalakatta Ground-son of Yegna vadlaru.	Name
A - G " - 37	Extent.
Rs a p 5 - " - "	Assessment.
"	Jodi
" - 8 -	Quit-Rent.

RECEIVED 23 DEC 1898 REVENUE DEPARTMENT DEWAN'S Or
G.I. No. 2366 868
2 328 98-99

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated 18th
Despatched 19th } November 1898.
Received

General No
Miss: No 59. } 1898-99

Enclosures-Number

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 2820-21 / P. 727-98 dated 6/7th October 1898, has the honor to state that the resumption of the marginally noted Inam has been cancelled and that it has been confirmed to the party thereof, and to request that the same may be ordered to be released and restored.

2 The usual supplemental

Quit-

Land Rent Receipt

/Signed/ J. P. Grant.
Supt.t of Inam Settls.

No. 33.

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 18th November
1898

Regd. No. 24



Has the honor to forward copy of the above with a copy of the Supplemental Quit-Rent Register to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments for information.

J. P. Grant

To

Supt.t of Inam Settls.